

# On Being Prepared

Prepared Statements and all that.

Mark Kirkwood Sep 20, 2009

# Background



- Web apps often do a lot of (often similar) queries to render a page e.g:
  - Drupal upto 5000 (!)
  - Moodle several 100s
  - audience suggest other perl based ones?
- This is expensive, even for database managers that are in theory optimized for this use case

### **Expensive?**



- Why expensive? Requires:
  - Db server parse query string
  - Produce execution plan
  - Start executor machinery
  - Run query and emit result rows
  - Shutdown executor machinery



- Rough figures for 10000 executions:
- (higher than a typical bad web page, but makes measurement easy...)
- First try to measure basic executor startup, trivial planning and emitting 1 row, shutdown...



```
my $sql = "SELECT 1";
my $maxi = 10000;

for ($i = 0; $i < $maxi; $i++) {
          $row = $dbh->selectrow_arrayref($sql);
}
```



- Approx 1 s for 10000 executions
  - Postgres 1.3 s (8.3.8 on Ubuntu 9.04 i386)
  - Mysql 1.0 s (5.4.1 on Ubuntu 9.04 i386)



 Now try measure planning + row extraction by accessing a real table





- Approx 2-5 s for 10000 executions
  - Postgres 2.6 s
  - Mysql (innodb) 2.4 s
  - Mysql (myisam) 1.8 s
- This approach is clearly not optimal, no matter what db engine is in use
- Is there another way?

#### Reuse of similar statement

- The statements are often very similar i.e only the values are different
- We can use the prepare API to reuse the statement(s) with different bind variables
- In theory saving the parsing and planning steps.



### Reusing statements

```
my $sql = "SELECT * FROM accounts WHERE aid = ?";
my \$maxaid = 5000000;
$sth = $dbh->prepare($sql);
for (\$i = 0; \$i < \$maxi; \$i++) {
    $aid = int(rand($maxaid));
    $sth->execute($aid);
    $row = $sth->fetchrow arrayref();
```

### Reusing statements



- Approx 1-2 s for 10000 executions
  - Postgres 1.2 s
  - Mysql (innodb) 2.0 s
  - Mysql (myisam) 1.1 s
- Is clearly better in general
- Database engines that are "heavier" in plan and setup stages are helped more

# Some analysis



- Performing fewer queries would help more than anything...
- Some sort of partial cache for commonly queries rows:
  - Query cache (Mysql)
  - Pg Memcache (Postgres)
  - Simple hash stored in shared variable?

- Db engine must support SQL operations PREPARE, EXECUTE
  - Mysql 5.0.x or later
  - Postgres 7.4 or later
  - Others... need to check

- Plan for a query statement with unknown parameters may not be as optimal as the equivalent one without them
  - Optimal plan may depend on the value
  - Not so important for lookup via primary key
  - Vital for range (<) operations or non uniform data distributions



```
bench=# EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM accounts WHERE aid < 3000000; QUERY PLAN
```

\_\_\_\_\_

Index Scan using accounts\_pkey on accounts Index Cond: (aid < 3000000)

bench=# EXPLAIN SELECT \* FROM accounts WHERE aid < 3500000; QUERY PLAN

\_\_\_\_\_

Seq Scan on accounts Filter: (aid < 3500000)



- The complete PREPARE + EXECUTE combination is more expensive than a single simple query operation
- Show cost of this...

```
my $sql = "SELECT * FROM accounts WHERE aid = ?";
my $maxaid = 5000000;
for (\$i = 0; \$i < \$maxi; \$i++) {
    $aid = int(rand($maxaid));
    $sth = $dbh->prepare($sql);
    $sth->execute($aid);
    $row = $sth->fetchrow arrayref();
    $sth->finish;
```

- Approx 2-5 s for 10000 executions
  - Postgres 5.2 s
  - Mysql (innodb) 2.5 s
  - Mysql (myisam) 1.9 s
- Particularly bad for Postgres... why?
- Look at server log



#### exec.pl:

LOG: duration: 0.292 ms statement: SELECT \* FROM accounts WHERE aid = 3729

#### execprepared.pl:

LOG: duration: 0.162 ms parse dbdpg\_p19774\_2: SELECT \* FROM accounts WHERE aid = \$1 LOG: duration: 0.037 ms bind dbdpg\_p19774\_2: SELECT \* FROM accounts WHERE aid = \$1

DETAIL: parameters: \$1 = '3729'

LOG: duration: 0.059 ms execute dbdpg\_p19774\_2: SELECT \* FROM accounts WHERE aid =

\$1

DETAIL: parameters: \$1 = '3729'

LOG: duration: 0.040 ms statement: DEALLOCATE dbdpg\_p19774\_2

- 4 separate steps
  - Extra overhead manage many named statements
  - Potentially more expensive in network latency





- Using prepared statements can improve performance markedly
  - Need separate logic so do few PREPAREs
  - ..and many EXECUTEs
- If you cannot do this, would you want to use the more expensive PREPARE + EXECUTE anyway? (continued...)

# Some Analysis



- Security...
- Simple method vulnerable to injection
- Consider a contrived example





- Creates 10000 tables
- Consider an equivalent prepared example





```
my $sql = "SELECT * FROM accounts WHERE aid = ?";
for (\$i = 0; \$i < \$maxi; \$i++) {
     $aid = int(rand($maxaid));
     $sth = $dbh->prepare($sql);
     $sth->execute($aid .
           "; CREATE TABLE secure". $i.
           "(id INTEGER); ");
     $row = $sth->fetchrow arrayref();
     $sth->finish;
```



- No tables created:
  - All statements fail, with invalid integer errors.
- Analogous examples with string variables fail too:
  - Strings are safely quoted
  - Prepare interface disallows multiple statements in 1 string

# Some Analysis



- Can we get the protection of PREPARE but the performance of simple statements?
  - Use programmatic prepare methods, but without server side PREPAREd statements.







- The pg\_server\_prepare enables switching on/ off server side prepare, but lets you use the Prepare API for safety.
- pg\_server\_prepare can be applied to connection or individual statement objects
- Analgous parameters exist for other db engines.

# **Final Analysis**



- For performance:
  - 1 prepare, many executes
  - Best for lots of simple statements
- For safety:
  - 1 prepare + 1 execute
  - May need to set to pg\_server\_prepare (or similar) to 0 for performance in this case